

Small Claims    County Court    District Court  
 Probate Court    Juvenile Court    Water Court  
Pueblo County, Colorado

Court Address: Pueblo County Judicial Building,  
320 West Tenth Street, Pueblo, Colorado 81003

T.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DIOCESE OF PUEBLO, a corporation sole, and  
THE MARIANISTS PROVINCE OF THE  
UNITED STATES, INC.,

Defendants

---

**Alan G. Molk, No. 10988**  
**Attorney for Plaintiff**  
**6400 So. Fiddlers' Green Circle, Ste # 1920**  
**Englewood, Co. 80111**  
**Phone (303) 290-8808**  
**Fax (303) 290-8851**  
**E-Mail alanmolk@aol.com**

**Jeffrey M. Herman, Esq.**  
**(not yet admitted Pro Hac Vice)**  
**HERMAN & MERMELSTEIN, P.A.**  
**18205 Biscayne Blvd.**  
**Suite 2218**  
**Miami, Florida 33160**  
[www.hermanlaw.com](http://www.hermanlaw.com)

Phone Number: (305) 931-2200  
FAX Number: (305) 931-0877  
E-mail: [jherman@hermanlaw.com](mailto:jherman@hermanlaw.com)  
Atty. Reg. #:

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Case Number:

Div.:

Ctrm:

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, T.A., hereby brings this Complaint against Defendants, DIOCESE OF PUEBLO and THE MARIANISTS PROVINCE OF THE UNITED STATES, INC., and alleges as follows:

### **PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1. Plaintiff, T.A. is an adult male who resides in Danville, California. Plaintiff is seeking compensatory damages in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) in this lawsuit. Plaintiff is identified in this lawsuit by the pseudonym T.A. in that this case involves acts of childhood sexual abuse of the utmost intimacy.

2. Defendant, DIOCESE OF PUEBLO, is a corporation sole responsible for the interests of the Roman Catholic Church in southern Colorado. The DIOCESE OF PUEBLO's jurisdiction and responsibilities include all Catholic schools within its geographical boundaries. At all material times, the DIOCESE OF PUEBLO owned and operated Roncalli High School, an all-boys Catholic high school in Pueblo, Colorado.

3. Defendant, MARIANISTS PROVINCE OF THE UNITED STATES, INC. ("MARIANISTS"), is a Catholic religious Order and non-profit Missouri corporation doing business in Colorado. At all relevant times, MARIANISTS staffed and administered Roncalli High School with priests and monks from the Society of Mary. Defendants, DIOCESE OF PUEBLO and MARIANISTS, are collectively referred to herein as the "Church" or "Defendants."

4. At all material times, Brother William Mueller ("BROTHER MUELLER") was a member of the MARIANISTS' Religious Order and a music teacher at Roncalli High School. BROTHER MUELLER was a popular teacher at Roncalli High School who was often hugging and wrestling with the students.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant, the DIOCESE OF PUEBLO's principal place of business is within the City and County of Pueblo, making venue proper.

### **BACKGROUND**

6. The Church offers many opportunities for minors and families to become active and involved in Church activities. As a result, priests, clergy, and members of Religious Orders have abundant and frequent contacts with minors, individually and in groups.

7. Because of the opportunities to be with minors, The Church attracts many truly caring and giving individuals; however, at the same time, service in The Church attracts an extraordinary number of pedophiles, child molesters and sex abusers.

8. Officials and religious figures at the highest levels of The Church are well aware of the attraction of The Church to those who will do serious harm to minors.

9. Such persons who will do serious harm to minors seek employment by The Church as clergy, where they will obtain privacy with minors and control over them. As religious figures, they wield enormous influence over minors, who are required to address them as "Father", "Brother", or such other terms connoting love and authority.

10. Religious figures in The Church are bestowed with an heir of infallibility, and are considered conduits for Catholics to make a connection with the deity and live more meaningful lives.

11. As a result, when these seemingly infallible holy men commit unspeakable, perverted sexual acts with minors, it has an extraordinarily traumatic effect on the victims, psychologically and emotionally.

12. Instead of exercising due care and diligence to protect minors under these circumstances from the serious harm described above, The Church gives clergy complete discretion and freedom to have personal and private encounters with minors. At the same time, The Church has done nothing to screen abusers or protect minors from the sexual predators who

infiltrate its ranks.

13. Quite the opposite, The Church has gone to great lengths to protect “its own.” It is the custom, policy and practice of the Roman Catholic Church, through its cardinals, bishops, priests, religious orders, and other officials and agents, to conceal instances of child sexual abuse and complaints by victims. It zealously maintains the secrecy of the horrifying truth of rampant child sexual abuse in The Church, by among other things:

- Failing to disclose complaints to law enforcement officials, parishioners, students, and the public;
- Maintaining secret archives and files of evidence of sex abuse, accessible only to bishops;
- Instructing Church officials in destruction of incriminating documents and spoliation of evidence regarding sexual abuse by clergy;
- Transferring sex offending clergy to The Church facilities in other locations where their pasts would not be known, and the abusers would have a “fresh start” with a new group of vulnerable children;
- Threatening and coercing victims and their families to withdraw complaints and retract allegations of sexual abuse;
- Paying “hush money” to victims and their families, in exchange for promises of non-disclosure and confidentiality.

14. Each of the acts and practices set forth above are done to protect and shelter the abuser; obstruct justice; conceal criminal conduct; evade prosecution; avoid being compelled by criminal and civil courts to turn over information or allegations regarding child sexual abuse; avoid public awareness and scandal about pedophile clergy; and avoid financial loss.

15. The Church’s conduct is outrageous given the enormous trust and confidence placed in its religious figures. The Church actively solicits and encourages this trust and confidence from parishioners and the public.

### **SEXUAL ABUSE OF T.A.**

16. T.A. was born in 1951.

17. T.A. attended Roncalli High School in Pueblo, Colorado, where BROTHER MUELLER taught T.A. music and theology. BROTHER MUELLER was also T.A.'s homeroom instructor. T.A. developed a close bond with BROTHER MUELLER through their mutual involvement in the student council and other extracurricular activities.

18. In or about March 1969, T.A. was a seventeen year-old junior at Roncalli High School. During T.A.'s junior year, BROTHER MUELLER asked T.A. whether T.A. would assist him with research that he was doing to complete a thesis for his Masters in Psychology. T.A. agreed as he felt that would be an interesting experience and a nice gesture to help BROTHER MUELLER, whom he viewed as his mentor.

19. BROTHER MUELLER told T.A. to meet him after school in the music room at approximately 8 p.m. When T.A. entered the room, BROTHER MUELLER asked T.A. to sit on a table, relax, and totally trust him. BROTHER MUELLER then placed a towel soaked in ether over T.A.'s face, pinned down T.A.'s arms, and watched as T.A. drifted off to sleep. T.A. recalls that BROTHER MUELLER began speaking in a sexually suggestive manner and asking T.A. about his sexual fantasies and sexual experiences. BROTHER MUELLER then sexually assaulted T.A.

20. The following week BROTHER MUELLER requested that T.A. participate in another psychology session because more data was needed for the research. BROTHER MUELLER again placed ether over T.A.'s face, but this time T.A. did not fall asleep. BROTHER MUELLER began asking T.A. about his sexual fantasies. BROTHER MUELLER removed T.A.'s pants and fondled T.A.'s genitals. T.A. became hysterical, screaming, kicking, and totally confused about what was occurring. BROTHER MUELLER became speechless as he erroneously believed that the ether had caused T.A. to fall asleep.

21. Following this incident, BROTHER MUELLER ignored and avoided interactions

with T.A.

22. BROTHER MUELLER abused other students at Roncalli High School in the same manner that he abused T.A. BROTHER MUELLER also abused students at other schools where he was assigned by the MARIANISTS.

23. At the time he was sexually abused, T.A. was unaware that Defendants knew that BROTHER MUELLER sexually abused other students. Thus, T.A. was also unaware that the Defendants' failure to take any action against BROTHER MUELLER contributed to his own abuse.

24. After finding out about BROTHER MUELLER's sexual abuse of minors, the Defendants actively took steps to conceal the abuse in order to protect BROTHER MUELLER, conceal Defendants' own wrongdoing in supervising BROTHER MUELLER, and prevent T.A. and other victims of BROTHER MUELLER from filing a civil lawsuit.

25. BROTHER MUELLER and T.A. were in a fiduciary relationship. BROTHER MUELLER held a special position of trust and confidence with T.A. T.A. looked to BROTHER MUELLER for counseling and guidance.

26. The Defendants were in a fiduciary relationship with T.A. T.A. placed his trust and confidence in the Defendants' institutions. T.A. looked to the Defendants and their representatives for counseling and guidance. In addition, the Defendants knew that T.A. had a special and privileged relationship with BROTHER MUELLER. The Defendants each owed T.A. a fiduciary duty to:

- (a) Investigate and warn T.A. of the potential for harm from BROTHER MUELLER;
- (b) Disclose its awareness of facts regarding BROTHER MUELLER that created a likely potential for harm;
- (c) Disclose its own negligence with regard to hiring, supervision and retention of BROTHER MUELLER;

- (d) Provide a safe environment for T.A. where he would be free from abuse; and
  - (e) Protect T.A. from exposure to harmful individuals like BROTHER MUELLER.
27. Each Defendant breached its fiduciary duty to T.A. by failing to:
- (a) Investigate and warn T.A. of the potential for harm from BROTHER MUELLER;
  - (b) Disclose its awareness of facts regarding BROTHER MUELLER that created a likely potential for harm;
  - (c) Disclose its own negligence with regard to hiring, supervision and retention of BROTHER MUELLER;
  - (d) Provide a safe environment for T.A. where he was free from abuse; and
  - (e) Protect T.A. from exposure to harmful individuals like BROTHER MUELLER.

28. Each Defendant actively and fraudulently concealed information pertinent and relevant to claims relating to the sexual abuse in this matter for the purpose of protecting itself from civil liability and evading same.

### **NEGLIGENCE**

29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
30. At all material times, the Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiff to use reasonable care to ensure the safety, care, well being and health of the Plaintiff while he was under their care, custody or in the presence of their agents or employees. The Defendants' duties encompassed the hiring, retention and/or supervision of BROTHER MUELLER and otherwise providing a safe environment at Roncalli High School.
31. The Defendants breached these duties by failing to protect the minor Plaintiff

from sexual assault and lewd and lascivious acts committed by their agent and/or employee, BROTHER MUELLER, at Roncalli High School.

32. At all relevant times, the Defendants knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that BROTHER MUELLER was unfit, dangerous, and a threat to the health, safety and welfare of the minors entrusted to his counsel, care and protection.

33. Despite such actual or constructive knowledge, the Defendants provided BROTHER MUELLER unfettered access to T.A. and gave him unlimited and uncontrolled privacy.

34. At all relevant times, the Defendants created an environment which fostered child sexual abuse against children it had a duty to protect, including T.A.

35. At all relevant times, the Defendants had inadequate policies and procedures to protect children it was entrusted to care for and protect, including T.A.

36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, T.A. has suffered severe and permanent psychological, emotional and physical injuries, including but not limited to severe depression, dysfunctional relationships, shame, humiliation and the inability to lead a normal life. Plaintiff's injuries are persistent, permanent, and debilitating in nature.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, T.A., prays that judgment be entered in his favor and against the Defendants, the DIOCESE OF PUEBLO and THE MARIANIST PROVINCE OF THE UNITED STATES, INC., jointly and severally, for compensatory damages, costs, expert witness fees, deposition expenses, pre- and post-judgment interest and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands a jury trial in this action.

Dated: September 14, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW FIRM OF ALAN G. MOLK

By: Duly signed original in office of Alan G. Molk  
Alan G. Molk, #10988

-and-

HERMAN & MERMELSTEIN, P.A.

[jherman@hermanlaw.com](mailto:jherman@hermanlaw.com)

[www.hermanlaw.com](http://www.hermanlaw.com)

Jeffrey M. Herman, Esq.

Stuart S. Mermelstein, Esq.

Adam D. Horowitz, Esq.

18205 Biscayne Blvd.

Suite 2218

Miami, Florida 33160

Telephone: 305-931-2200

Facsimile: 305-931-0877